Vaccine Exemptions Must Be Protected

Should Vaccinations be Mandatory?, 2014

Joseph Mercola is a physician and author of numerous books on health and the human diet.

Pro-vaccine groups have shifted the blame on vaccine <u>exemptions</u> for recent infectious disease outbreaks. As a result, citizens are turning against each other, and those with religious, philosophical, or personal objections to vaccinations are fighting for their right to opt out. The majority of infections, however, have occurred among vaccinated people. Naturally acquired <u>immunity</u> is superior to vaccinations, which are failing at higher rates than anticipated. Also, most vaccine recommendations suffer from a conflict of interest; pharmaceutical companies provide indirect benefits to hospitals and their stakeholders in health care. Regardless of <u>vaccination</u> choice, it is a basic right to be fully informed of the risks and benefits and have the option to refuse <u>vaccines</u>.

The featured article in the latest newsletter from Children's Hospital of Philadelphia (CHOP) gets straight to the point with its headline: *Back to School—Is the Child Sitting Next to Yours Immunized?*

The article goes on to berate vaccine exemption options and parents who use personal belief exemptions to opt-out of vaccines for their children. It stops short of ordering parents to march into their children's classrooms and demand to know who's vaccinated and who's not (health privacy laws prevent that anyway).

It peppers you with enough scare tactics—along with links to information on vaccine exemptions and states that allow personal belief exemptions—to leave readers convinced they need to do something to stop vaccine exemptions.

All across the <u>United States</u>, people are fighting for their right to choose not to be injected with vaccines against their will, and this is just the latest tactic in a coordinated effort aimed at eliminating all vaccine exemptions.

The [Bill and Melinda] Gates Foundation is even funding surveillance of anti-vaccine groups. Seth C. Kalichman, professor at the Department of Psychology, University of Connecticut recently received a \$100,000 grant to establish an Anti-Vaccine Surveillance and Alert System.

The intention is to "establish an Internet-based global monitoring and rapid alert system for finding, analyzing, and counteracting misinformation communication campaigns regarding vaccines to support global immunization efforts," GreenMedInfo.com reports.

My strong guess is that some of the best sources for truthful information like NVIC.org [web site of the National Vaccine Information Center] and this web site [www.mercola.com] have already been targeted by the Gates Foundation.

Many outbreaks of pertussis (<u>whooping cough</u>), <u>measles</u>, and <u>mumps</u> have *occurred* primarily in people who were vaccinated, and no one seems to be able to fully explain how

that is the fault of those who are unvaccinated.

In light of that, it's not surprising that vaccine groups are trying to turn citizens against each other in an effort to squelch opposition and free will on this matter. According to CHOP:

"... these decisions, often referred to as personal belief exemptions, have been traced to recent cases of pertussis, measles and mumps in several states. Currently, 20 states allow personal belief exemptions.

Many people do not realize that these choices put not only their own children at risk, but also those around them because the more people in a community who are immune to a disease, the lower the chance that the disease will spread throughout the community. This is called herd immunity. So, even those who may not be immune will have a decreased chance of getting the disease."

First of all, there are only 18 states—not 20—that allow personal belief, philosophical or conscientious belief exemptions to vaccination, in addition to 48 states that allow religious belief exemptions.

Unvaccinated Population Falsely Blamed for Ineffective Vaccines

Recent disease outbreaks were traced back to personal belief exemptions ... Really?

That's just not reality, and if you take the time to look into the truthfulness of that statement, you'll see it simply does not hold up. Many outbreaks of pertussis (whooping cough), measles, and mumps have occurred primarily in people who were vaccinated, and no one seems to be able to fully explain how that is the fault of those who are unvaccinated....

If the vaccine theory was correct, these people should have been protected because they were vaccinated. Published studies into the outbreaks have revealed that a lot of the blame should be placed on *ineffective vaccines*—not on the unvaccinated minority.

Consider the following findings about the last two whooping cough (pertussis) outbreaks.

In 2010, the largest outbreak of whooping cough in over 50 years occurred in California. Around that same time, a scare campaign was launched in California by Pharma-funded medical trade associations, state health officials and national media, targeting people opting out of receiving pertussis vaccine, falsely accusing them of causing the outbreak.

However, research published in March of this year [2012] shows that 81 percent of 2010 California whooping cough cases in people under the age of 18 occurred in those who were fully up to date on the whooping cough vaccine. Eleven percent had received at least one shot, but not the entire recommended series, and *only eight percent of those stricken were unvaccinated*.

According to the authors:

"This first detailed analysis of a recent North American pertussis outbreak found widespread disease among fully vaccinated older children. Starting approximately three years after prior vaccine dose, attack rates markedly increased, suggesting inadequate protection or durability from the acellular vaccine." [Emphasis mine]

B. pertussis whooping cough is a cyclical disease with natural increases that tend to occur every 4-5 years, no matter how high the vaccination rate is in a population using DPT [diphtheria, pertussis, tetanus]/DTaP or Tdap vaccines on a widespread basis. Whole cell DPT vaccines used in the US from the 1950's until the late 1990's were estimated to be 63 to 94 percent effective and studies showed that vaccine-acquired immunity fell to about 40 percent after seven years.

In the study cited above, the researchers noted the vaccine's effectiveness was only 41 percent among 2- to 7-year-olds and a dismal 24 percent among those aged 8-12. With this shockingly low rate of DTaP vaccine effectiveness, the questionable solution <u>public health</u> officials have come up with is to declare that everybody has to get *three* primary shots and *three follow-up booster shots* in order to get long-lasting protection—and that's provided the vaccine gives you any protection at all!

The Washington State Secretary of Health also declared a pertussis epidemic on April 3, 2012, in response to a 1,300 percent increase in pertussis cases compared to 2011. Scientists are now considering adding a *seventh* inoculation, in order to boost protection against whooping cough.

According to a recent article and video by KPBS [public broadcasting]:

"New research confirms the whooping cough vaccine is failing at a higher rate than expected, and scientists are considering adding a seventh dose to the national immunization schedule published by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Two recent studies have found the majority of people getting sick are up to date with their immunizations."

Mumps and Measles Vaccines Are Also Failing

• Mumps: In 2010, more than 1,000 people in New Jersey and New York were also sickened with mumps. In the US, children typically receive their mumps vaccination as part of the Measles, Mumps, and Rubella (MMR) vaccine. The US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) advises children to receive their first dose between 12 and 18 months, and their second between the ages of 4 and 6.

This vaccine is supposed to improve immunity to measles, mumps and rubella ... yet 77 percent of the 1,000+ who came down with mumps were vaccinated. Similarly, in 2006, when mumps infected more than 6,500 people in the United States, cases occurred primarily among college students who had received two doses of MMR vaccine. At that time, just about the only people who were truly immune to mumps were older Americans who had recovered from mumps as children, and therefore had received natural, lifelong immunity.

• Measles—The 1989 measles epidemic in the region of Quebec [Canada] was largely attributed to incomplete vaccination coverage—until a study into the outbreak disclosed that the outbreak occurred in a population that had *99 percent* vaccination coverage. The researchers

concluded that: "Incomplete vaccination coverage is not a valid explanation for the Quebec City measles outbreak."

Conflicts of Interest—Not Science—Influence Most Vaccine Recommendations

The CHOP newsletter is delivered by email periodically to anyone who signs up for it, and almost always contains advice on getting all children vaccinated. The Vaccine Education Center at CHOP says it's funded by endowed chairs and "does not receive support from pharmaceutical companies."

But it neglects to mention that the hospital indirectly benefits from drug company money that helps fund endowed chairs like Merck's Maurice R. Hilleman Professor of Vaccinology, which is currently held by Paul Offit, who not only is very public about his belief that infants could theoretically safely handle 10,000 vaccines all at once; he also openly opposes personal belief vaccine exemptions. Rarely is it mentioned that Offit has a financial stake in the vaccine industry, as he invented one of the vaccines CHOP promotes. He's also served on the scientific advisory board of Merck.

Many still believe vaccines provide identical immunity to that obtained when you are naturally exposed to an infection. This widespread misconception needs to be corrected.

Offit's personal beliefs about forcing people to involuntarily use vaccines, which violates the <u>informed</u> <u>consent</u> ethic in medicine, along with the inaccurate statements he makes about vaccine <u>safety</u>, which are not backed by solid scientific evidence, are echoed throughout CHOP's pro-forced vaccination propaganda. For example, one of their Q&A brochures answers the question: *Can too many vaccines overwhelm an infant's immune system?* with the following statement:

"No. Compared to the immunological challenges that infants handle every day, the challenge from the immunological components in vaccines is minuscule. Babies begin dealing with immunological challenges at birth. The mother's womb is a sterile environment, free from viruses, bacteria, parasites and fungi. But after babies pass through the birth canal and enter the world, they are immediately colonized with trillions of bacteria, which means that they carry the bacteria on their bodies but aren't infected by them. These bacteria live on the skin, nose, throat and intestines. To make sure that colonizing bacteria don't invade the bloodstream and cause harm, babies constantly make antibodies against them.

... Given that infants are colonized with trillions of bacteria, that each bacterium contains between 2,000 and 6,000 immunological components and that infants are infected with numerous viruses, the challenge from the 150 immunological components in vaccines is minuscule compared to what infants manage every day."

This is an astounding comparison and shockingly ignorant of foundational physiology.

Not only do these ignorant statements dismiss and disparage the role of beneficial gut bacteria—which we now know are absolutely essential and vital for human health and well-being—and characterize normal gut bacteria as potentially harmful, but there is a false characterization of the immunological challenge posed by multiple vaccines, each of which can contain either live or killed viruses and a number of different adjuvants and chemicals, injected into the tiny body of an infant. CHOP even takes Offit's ridiculous claim that infants can safely handle

10,000 vaccines at one time to brand new heights, stating that:

"The purpose of vaccines is to prompt a child's body to make antibodies, which work by preventing bacteria and viruses from reproducing themselves and causing disease. So, how many different antibodies can babies make?

The best answer to this question came from a Nobel Prize-winning immunologist at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology named Susumu Tonegawa, who first figured out how people make antibodies. Tonegawa discovered that antibodies are made by rearranging and recombining many different genes, and found that people can make about 10 billion different antibodies.

Given the number of antibody-producing cells in a child's bloodstream, and the number of immunological components contained in vaccines, it is reasonable to conclude that babies could effectively make antibodies to about 100,000 vaccines at one time." [Emphasis mine]

The Difference Between Natural and Vaccine-Induced Immunity

Many still believe vaccines provide identical immunity to that obtained when you are naturally exposed to an infection. This widespread misconception needs to be corrected.

The presumed result of a vaccination is to help you build immunity to potentially harmful organisms that cause disease. What many fail to appreciate is that your body's immune system is already designed to do this in response to naturally-occurring infectious agents that you are constantly exposed to throughout life. One major difference between vaccine-induced immunity and natural immunity stems from *how* you're exposed to these organisms.

Most organisms that cause infection enter your body through the mucous membranes of your nose, mouth, lungs or your digestive tract.

These mucous membranes have their own immune system, called the secretory IgA immune system. It is a different system from the one activated when a vaccine is injected into your body. Your IgA immune system is your body's first line of defense and its job is to address the infectious microorganism at their entry points, thus reducing or even eliminating the need for activation of your body's entire immune system.

However, when a laboratory altered or created infectious microorganism is injected into your body with a vaccine and, especially when combined with an immune adjuvant, such as aluminum, your IgA immune system is bypassed, stimulating your immune system to mount a very strong inflammatory response.

Since vaccines bypass your natural first-line defense (your IgA immune system), they are clearly inferior to natural immunity and fail to provide the same kind of long lasting protection from future disease as ... what your body would acquire by experiencing and healing from the natural disease.

Vaccines can also trigger such a strong inflammatory response that the inflammation becomes chronic and leads to chronic illness or disability. (People with a personal or family history of severe

allergy or autoimmunity should be cautious about vaccination because they already have a genetic predisposition to inflammatory responses that do not resolve and can lead to chronic health problems.)

Injecting these lab-altered microorganisms into your body in an attempt to provoke an atypical, temporary immunity is clearly not the same way your body develops naturally acquired immunity. Your immune system simply was not designed to be injected with lab altered disease-causing organisms in this manner. While I am a great fan and advocate of technology it is very clear to me that this is one reason why vaccines almost always only provide a much more temporary immunity compared to naturally acquired immunity.

Additionally, this plays a large role in why vaccines have the potential to do serious damage to your health.

Since vaccines bypass your natural first-line defense (your IgA immune system), they are clearly inferior to natural immunity and fail to provide the same kind of long lasting protection from future disease as they provide *typically inferior immunity* compared to what your body would acquire by experiencing and healing from the natural disease. In the case of mumps, for instance, immunity is typically permanent for those who contract the disease during childhood.

What You Need to Know About "Herd Immunity"

The National Institute of Allergy and Infectious <u>Diseases</u> describes vaccine-induced herd immunity, also labeled "community immunity" by public health doctors, as follows:

"When a critical portion of a community is immunized against a contagious disease, most members of the community are protected against that disease because there is little opportunity for an outbreak. Even those who are not eligible for certain vaccines—such as infants, pregnant women, or immunocompromised individuals—get some protection because the spread of contagious disease is contained. This is known as 'community immunity."

There is some serious discrimination against Americans, who want to be free to exercise their human right to informed consent to medical risk-taking when it comes to making voluntary decisions about which vaccines they and their children use.

The problem is that there is in fact such a thing as *natural herd immunity*. But what they've done is they've taken this natural phenomenon and assumed that vaccines will work the same way. However, vaccines do not confer the same kind of immunity as experiencing the natural disease, and the science clearly shows that there's a big difference between naturally acquired herd immunity and vaccine-induced herd immunity....

Why We Must Defend Vaccine Exemptions

All Americans need to know their options for legally opting-out of vaccinations, and you also need to know *why* it's so important to protect this legal option, whether you choose to use every federally recommended vaccine for yourself and your children or not.

No matter what vaccination choices you make for yourself or your family, there is a basic human right to be fully informed about all risks and have the ability to refuse to allow substances you consider to be harmful, toxic or poisonous to be forced upon you.

Unfortunately, the partnership between government health agencies and vaccine manufacturers is getting closer and closer. There is some serious discrimination against Americans, who want to be free to exercise their human right to informed consent to medical risk-taking when it comes to making voluntary decisions about which vaccines they and their children use. We cannot allow that happen!

It's vitally important to know your legal rights and understand your options when it comes to using vaccines and prescription <u>drugs</u>.

Further Readings

Books

- Arthur Allen Vaccine: The Controversial Story of Medicine's Greatest Lifesaver. New York: W.W. Norton, 2007.
- Andrew W. Artenstein, ed. Vaccines: A Biography. New York: Springer, 2010.
- William R. Clark In Defense of Self: How the Immune System Really Works. New York: Oxford University Press, 2008.
- Robert Goldberg Tabloid Medicine: How the Internet Is Being Used to Hijack Medical Science for Fear and Profit. New York: Kaplan, 2010.
- Richard Halvorsen The Truth About Vaccines: Making the Right Decision for Your Child, 2nd ed. London, United Kingdom: Gibson Square, 2009.
- Stacy Mintzer Herlihy and E. Allison Hagood Your Baby's Best Shot: Why Vaccines Are Safe and Save Lives. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, 2012.
- Kendall HoytLong Shot: Vaccines for National Defense. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2011.
- Mark A. Largent Vaccine: The Debate in Modern America. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press, 2012.
- Deborah Mitchell The Essential Guide to Children's Vaccines. New York: St. Martin's Press, 2012.
- Seth Mnookin The Panic Virus: A True Story of Medicine, Science, and Fear. New York: Simon & Schuster, 2011.
- Andreas Moritz Vaccine-Nation: Poisoning the Population, One Shot at a Time. Brevard, NC: Ener-Chi Wellness Press, 2011.
- Paul A. Offit Deadly Choices: How the Anti-Vaccine Movement Threatens Us All. New York: Basic Books, 2011.
- Paul A. Offit and Charlotte A. Moser Vaccines & Your Child: Separating Fact from Fiction. New York: Columbia University Press, 2011.
- Manmohan Singh and Indresh K. Srivastava, eds. *Development of Vaccines: From Discovery to Clinical Testing*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, 2011.
- Andrew J. Wakefield Callous Disregard: Autism and Vaccines—The Truth Behind a Tragedy. New

Periodicals and Internet Sources

- Christina England "The Most Dangerous Greeting Your Child May Ever Receive," Vactruth.com, April 15, 2013.
- Nan Feyler "In the Fight Against Cancer, a Vaccine Is Underused," The Public's Health, October 10, 2012. www.philly.com.
- Miriam Fine-Goulden "Should Childhood Vaccination Be Compulsory in the UK?," Opticon1826, Spring 2010. www.opticon1826.com.
- Daniel Goodman and Christopher Webster "The Mandatory Vaccination of Health Care Workers," Law Practice Today, April 2011.
- Matthew Helper "The Gardasil Problem: How the US Lost Faith in a Promising Vaccine," *Forbes*, April 23, 2012.
- Xian Wen Jin, Andrea Sikon, and Ellen Rome "Human Papillomavirus Vaccine: Safe, Effective, Underused," *Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine*, January 2013.
- Fran Lowry "Mandatory Vaccination of Healthcare Workers a Success," Medscape, June 17, 2013. www.medscape.com.
- Amanda Mascarelli "Doctors See Chinks in Vaccination Armor," Los Angeles Times, August 5, 2011.
- Michelle M. Mello, Sara Abiola, and James Colgrove "Pharmaceutical Companies' Role in State Vaccination Policymaking: The Case of Human Papillomavirus Vaccination," *American Journal of Public Health*, May 2012.
- Alex Newman "Swine Flu: The Risks and Efficacy of Vaccines," New American, October 14, 2009. www.thenewamerican.com.
- Alan Phillips "Vaccine Exemptions: Do They Really Put Others at Risk?," Natural News, February 18, 2012. www.naturalnews.com.
- Olav Phillips "Everything You Always Wanted to Know About Cancer, Sex, and the Mandatory Human Papilloma Vaccine," *Paranoia Magazine*, November 6, 2012. www.paranoiamagazine.com.
- David M. Salisbury "Should Vaccines Be Mandatory? No," British Medical Journal, May 15, 2012.
- Margaret Wente "Autism, Vaccines, and Fear," Globe & Mail, February 4, 2010.
- Cheryl Wetzstein "Doctors Call for HPV Shots for Boys," Washington Times, February 27, 2012. www.washingtontimes.com.

Full Text: COPYRIGHT 2014 Greenhaven Press, a part of Gale, Cengage Learning.

.....

Source Citation

Mercola, Joseph. "Vaccine Exemptions Must Be Protected." Should Vaccinations be Mandatory? Ed. Roman Espejo. Farmington Hills, MI: Greenhaven Press, 2014. At Issue. Rpt. from "The Latest Sneak Attacks in a Coordinated Effort to Eliminate Vaccine Exemptions." mercola.com. 2012. Opposing Viewpoints in Context. Web. 9 Feb. 2015.

Document URL

ilOverType=&query=&prodId=OVIC&windowstate=normal&contentModules =&display-query=&mode=view&displayGroupName=Viewpoints&limiter=& amp;currPage=&disableHighlighting=false&displayGroups=&sortBy=&s earch_within_results=&p=OVIC&action=e&catId=&activityType=&s canId=&documentId=GALE%7CEJ3010616222&source=Bookmark&u=lenape_una mi ms&jsid=f895604306ce8cd58145aac268adcdf8

Gale Document Number: GALE|EJ3010616222